OPEN MEETING ITEM

ORIGINAL DITAT DIUS



605

SECURITIES DIVISION
1300 West Washington, Third Floor
Phoenix, AZ 85007
TELEPHONE: (602) 542-4242
FAX: (602) 594-7470
E-MAIL: securitiesdiv@azcc.gov

m

\* 1912 \* /

### ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Jeff Hatch-Miller, Chairman

William A. Mundell

COMMISSIONERS

JEFF HATCH-MILLER - Chairman

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL

MARC SPITZER

MIKE GLEASON

KRISTIN K. MAYES

**BRIAN C. McNEIL** 

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Marc Spitzer Mike Gleason Kristin K. Mayes

FROM:

Matthew J. Neubert W//

Director of Securities \( \)

**DATE:** 

February 14, 2006

RE:

Proposed Order for Relief and Consent to Same: David John Palen, Docket No.

S-03587A-05-0000

CC:

Brian C. McNeil, Executive Director

Attached is a proposed Order and Consent to Same ("Order") by Respondent David John Palen ("Palen"), an Arizona registered securities salesman, formerly affiliated with Ameriprise Financial Services, Inc. ("Ameriprise") fka American Express Financial Services, Inc.

In this proposed Order, Palen has agreed to the following:

- An order to cease and desist from violations of the Securities Act and the Investment Advisory Act;
- Revocation of Palen's Arizona securities salesman registration, and an agreement not to apply in Arizona for registration as a securities salesman or licensing as an investment adviser representative at any time in the future; and
- Administrative penalties in the total amount of \$195,500.00, constituting disgorgement of all financial advice fees paid through allegedly unauthorized redemptions from customers' accounts.

In late 2004, Ameriprise brought allegations against Palen to the Division's attention as a result of a customer complaint against Palen. At the time, Palen operated in affiliation with Ameriprise as a securities salesman and an investment adviser representative, out of his home, an Ameriprise registered branch office located in Scottsdale, Arizona.

In May 2005, the Division filed a Notice against Palen alleging that he had signed

1200 WEST WASHINGTON, PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007 / 400 WEST CONGRESS STREET, TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701

www.cc.state.az.us

customers' names on financial advisory service agreements without their knowledge and, through telephone instructions, initiated redemptions from customers' securities accounts, in order to obtain money from the customers in the form of financial advice fees. The Division further alleged that, in some cases, Palen had not provided the financial plans for which customers had paid advice fees.

Ameriprise has reimbursed all questionable advice fees paid by Palen's customers and, pursuant to a consent Order by the Commission, Decision No. 68316 entered on December 5, 2005, Ameriprise paid \$1 million in administrative penalties for failing to reasonably supervise Palen.

Palen has cooperated in this investigation. The Division believes the proposed Order to be in the public interest, and a fair and equitable resolution of this matter.

Originated by: Pam Johnson

MJN/ptj

# BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

## **COMMISSIONERS**

JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL MARC SPITZER MIKE GLEASON KRISTIN K. MAYES

In the matter of:	O DOCKET NO. S-03587A-05-0000
DAVID JOHN PALEN, CRD#2321162 11557 North 120 <sup>th</sup> St.	) DECISION NO.
Scottsdale, AZ 85259-3239	ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, ORDER
Respondent	<ul> <li>OF REVOCATION, ORDER FOR</li> <li>ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES, AND</li> <li>CONSENT TO SAME</li> </ul>

Respondent DAVID JOHN PALEN ("RESPONDENT" or "PALEN") elects to permanently waive any right to a hearing and appeal under Articles 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 et seq. ("Securities Act") and Articles 7 and 8 of the Arizona Investment Management Act, A.R.S. § 44-3101 et seq. ("IM Act") with respect to this Order To Cease And Desist, Order of Revocation, Order for Administrative Penalties and Consent to Same ("Order"). RESPONDENT admits the jurisdiction of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"); neither admits nor denies the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order; and consents to the entry of this Order by the Commission.

I.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

1. PALEN was at all pertinent times a registered securities salesman in Arizona, CRD# 2321162, operating as a Financial Advisor ("Advisor") under American Express Financial Advisors, Inc. ("AEFA"), now known as Ameriprise Financial Services, Inc. ("Ameriprise"). PALEN's last known address is 11557 North 120<sup>TH</sup> Street, Scottsdale, Arizona 85259-3239. At all times pertinent to this action, PALEN was operating within Arizona in association with AEFA, from February 1993 until on or about January 5, 2005. From in or around 2002, PALEN operated out of his home, which was a Consent Palen

registered office of AEFA. PALEN is currently not affiliated with any securities dealer, and his registration is suspended.

- 2. At all pertinent times, AEFA offered a Financial Advisory Service that allowed its Advisors to sell and provide financial planning services. From in or around 1997 through 2004, PALEN earned much of his compensation through AEFA by selling Financial Advisory Services to AEFA clients.
- 3. To establish a financial advisory account, an AEFA client had to sign an AEFA service agreement ("Service Agreement").
- 4. AEFA policies and procedures required a customer-signed service agreement, and payment in the form of cash, American Express Credit Card, or redemption from an AEFA customer account, to open a financial advisory account and trigger payment of advice fees.
- 5. The service fees for advisory services were determined by the Advisor, with approval by the client evidenced by their signature on the Service Agreement. AEFA paid 85% of any financial advisory service fees to the Advisor.
- 6. If the service fees were to be paid by redemption, the client had to sign a redemption form or authorize the Advisor to execute a telephone transaction by signing a form known as the Advisor and Paraplanner-Assisted Telephone and Online Transaction Authorization form ("AATT"). The Advisor maintained the AATT form in the client's file.
- 7. All of PALEN's clients signed AATT forms to authorize Agent-assisted telephone transactions. When PALEN submitted a signed Service Agreement and telephone instructions for redemption from a client's account, service fees were automatically deducted from the client's AEFA account.
- 8. The Service Agreements allowed clients to select the advice services that would be provided during a 12-month service period (i.e. comprehensive financial planning or individual or multiple topic-specific services). Advisors who met certain qualification criteria were also authorized to sell "Good Until Changed or Cancelled" ("GTCC") services. With the GTCC

service, the 12-month service period was automatically renewed on each anniversary of the original signed Service Agreement, as long as provision was made for the payment of the service fees or unless the client or Advisor opted to terminate the agreement at an earlier date. PALEN was authorized to sell GTCC service agreements.

- 9. From 1998 through 2004, AEFA assigned approximately 64 clients to PALEN. Approximately 23 of those clients paid financial advisory service fees through redemptions or surrenders in their accounts. PALEN executed the redemptions and surrenders by telephone instructions to AEFA's corporate office.
- 10. In December 2004, one of PALEN's clients complained to AEFA that he had not authorized the redemption or surrender from his account to pay for service fees. AEFA initiated an investigation. The complaining client signed an "Affidavit of Forgery and Settlement and Release Agreement," attesting that the signature on his Service Agreement was not his and that he did not authorize anyone to sign it on his behalf. AEFA refunded the service fee and the transaction charge to the client.
- 11. When interviewed by AEFA, PALEN denied signing the complaining client's name on the Service Agreement. However, PALEN identified two other Service Agreements where he signed clients' names, resulting in redemptions in their accounts. The clients' funds were transferred from their variable universal life ("VUL") policies and the proceeds applied to financial advisory Services Agreements to pay advice service fees.
- 12. Signing a client's name to any document is a violation of sales practices rules in the securities industry. AEFA placed PALEN on suspension on or about December 17, 2004, pending further investigation, and removed all of PALEN's client files from his office. On or about January 5, 2005, PALEN resigned his position with AEFA.
- 13. Upon being notified by AEFA of its internal investigation, the Division initiated its own investigation into Palen's and AEFA's conduct. Upon questioning by the Division, PALEN identified two or three additional Service Agreements where he had signed clients' names,

resulting in redemptions or surrenders from their AEFA accounts for service fees in connection with AEFA's Financial Advisory Service.

- 14. When a financial advice service is sold to a client, the Advisor has 12 months to deliver a written advice product to the client. If a plan is not closed by the end of the 12-month service period, the Advisor's compensation is reversed the following day, followed in 60 days by a full refund sent directly to the client. AEFA's and the Division's investigation revealed that some of PALEN's clients who paid advice fees for financial advisory services did not receive financial plans and did not receive reimbursements.
- 15. The Division's investigation resulted in a consent Order against Ameriprise for failing to reasonably supervise PALEN. Pursuant to Decision No. 68316, entered by the Commission on December 5, 2005, Ameriprise consented to the following Findings of Fact:
  - a) Weaknesses in AMERIPRISE's procedures for implementing supervision may have contributed to inadequate supervision. (Decision at page 3, par. 9).
  - b) Weaknesses in AMERIPRISE's compliance policies and procedures, surveillance, and supervision resulted in and/or contributed to AMERIPRISE's failure to discover Palen's compliance violations in a timely manner to prevent customer losses. (Decision at pages 3-4, par. 10).
  - c) From January through May 2005, AMERIPRISE reimbursed approximately \$475,000 in advice fees and interest to approximately 24 Arizona customers for redemptions for advice fees that they claimed they did not authorize and for which they claimed they received no written advice deliverables. (Decision at page 5, par. 14).
  - d) AMERIPRISE agrees that it will make full restitution to any additional former clients of Palen who are found to have been victims of fraudulent conduct as described in this Order. (Par. 10).

25 || . .

26 ||.

II.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, the Securities Act and the IM Act.
- 2. PALEN violated A.R.S. § 44-1991 by (a) employing a device, scheme or artifice to defraud, (b) making untrue statements or misleading omissions of material facts, and/or (c) engaging in transactions, practices or courses of business which operate or would operate as a fraud or deceit.
- 3. PALEN violated A.R.S. § 44-3241 by (a) employing a device, scheme or artifice to defraud, (b) making untrue statements or misleading omissions of material facts, and/or (c) engaging in transactions, practices, or courses of business which operate or would operate as a fraud or deceit.
- 4. PALEN's conduct subjects him to an order of revocation pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1962(A)(2), (4), (10) and (12).
- 5. PALEN's conduct is grounds for a cease and desist order pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2032, 44-3292 and 44-1962.
- 6. PALEN's conduct is grounds for administrative penalties under A.R.S. §§ 44-2036, 44-3296 and 44-1962.

III.

### **ORDER**

THEREFORE, on the basis of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and RESPONDENT's consent to the entry of this Order, attached and incorporated by reference, the Commission finds that the following relief is appropriate, in the public interest, and necessary for the protection of investors:

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2032, 44-3292 and 44-1962, that RESPONDENT permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act and the Investment Management Act. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that RESPONDENT comply with the attached Consent to Entry of Order. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2036, 44-3296, and 44-1962, that RESPONDENT shall pay administrative penalties in the amount of \$195,500.00, plus interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of this Order. Payment shall be made in full by check or money order on the date of this Order, payable to the "State of Arizona." IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1962, that RESPONDENT's securities salesman registration is revoked. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Respondent fails to comply with this order, the 

1	Commission may bring further legal proceedings against that Respondent including application to			
2	the superior court for an order of contempt.			
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately.			
4				
5				
6	CHAIRMAN	COMMISSIONER		
7				
8				
9	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER	
10			OF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL,	
11		Executive Director of Commission, have hereur	the Arizona Corporation ato set my hand and caused the	
12		official seal of the Con Capitol, in the City of	nmission to be affixed at the Phoenix, this day of	
13		, 200		
14				
15				
16		BRIAN C. McNEIL Executive Director		
17				
18				
19	DISSENT			
20	DISSENT			
21	DIGGEN VE			
22	DISSENT			
23	This document is available in all to the Executive Director, voice	,	Linda Hogan, Executive Assistant E-mail lhogan@azcc.gov.	
24	,	•		
25	(ptj)			
26				
		7		
	11	זמ	ECICIONI NO	

#### CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

- 1. Respondent DAVID JOHN PALEN ("PALEN"), an unmarried man, ("RESPONDENT"), admits the jurisdiction of the Commission over the subject matter of this proceeding. RESPONDENT acknowledges that he has been fully advised of his rights to a hearing to present evidence and call witnesses and RESPONDENT knowingly and voluntarily waives any and all rights to a hearing before the Commission and all other rights otherwise available under Article 11 of the Securities Act, Article 7 of the Investment Management Act, and Title 14 of the Arizona Administrative Code. RESPONDENT acknowledges that this Order To Cease And Desist, Order of Revocation, Order for Administrative Penalties and Consent to Same ("Order") constitutes a valid final order of the Commission.
- 2. RESPONDENT knowingly and voluntarily waives any right under Article 12 of the Securities Act and Article 8 of the Investment Management Act to judicial review by any court by way of suit, appeal, or extraordinary relief resulting from the entry of this Order.
- 3. RESPONDENT acknowledges and agrees that this Order is entered into freely and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.
- 4. RESPONDENT acknowledges that he has been represented by an attorney in this matter, he has reviewed this Order with his attorney and understands all terms it contains.
- 5. RESPONDENT neither admits nor denies the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order.
- 6. By consenting to the entry of this Order, RESPONDENT agrees not to take any action or to make, or permit to be made, any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any Finding of Fact or Conclusion of Law in this Order or creating the impression that this Order is without factual basis. RESPONDENT will undertake steps necessary to assure that all of his agents and employees understand and comply with this agreement.
- 7. While this Order settles this administrative matter between RESPONDENT and the Commission, RESPONDENT understands that this Order does not preclude the Commission from

instituting other administrative proceedings based on violations that are not addressed by this Order.

- 8. RESPONDENT understands that this Order does not preclude the Commission from referring this matter to any governmental agency for administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to the matters addressed by this Order.
- 9. RESPONDENT understands that this Order does not preclude any other agency or officer of the state of Arizona or its subdivisions from instituting administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to matters addressed by this Order.
- 10. RESPONDENT agrees that he will not apply to the state of Arizona for registration as a securities dealer or salesman or for licensure as an investment adviser or investment adviser representative at any time in the future.
- 11. RESPONDENT agrees that he will not sell any securities within or from Arizona and he will not transact business in Arizona as an investment adviser or an investment adviser representative.
- 12. RESPONDENT agrees that he will not exercise any control over any entity that offers or sells securities or provides investment advisory services within or from Arizona at any time in the future.
- 13. RESPONDENT acknowledges and understands that if he fails to comply with the provisions of the order and this consent, the Commission may bring further legal proceedings against him, including application to the superior court for an order of contempt.
- 14. RESPONDENT agrees that until penalties are paid in full, RESPONDENT shall notify the Director of the Securities Division within 30 days of any change in home address and any change in his ability to pay amounts due under this Order. RESPONDENT agrees that failure to perform any action in this paragraph shall result in his being in default with any outstanding balance being immediately due and payable without notice or demand.

- 15. RESPONDENT understands that default shall render him liable to the Commission for his costs of collection and interest at the maximum legal rate.
- 16. RESPONDENT agrees and understands that if he fails to make any payment as required in the Order, any outstanding balance shall be in default and shall be immediately due and payable without notice or demand. RESPONDENT agrees and understands that acceptance of any partial or late payment by the Commission is not a waiver of default by the Commission.
- 17. RESPONDENT agrees that he will continue to cooperate with the Securities Division including, but not limited to, providing complete and accurate testimony at any hearing in this matter and cooperating with the state of Arizona in any related investigation or any other matters arising from the activities described in this Order.
- 18. Respondent DAVID JOHN PALEN consents to the entry of this Order and agrees to be fully bound by its terms and conditions.

DAVID JOHN PALEN

State of Arizona

County of MARICOPA

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this 10 day of FEBRUARY, 2006.

My Commission Expires:

SUSAN R. CRABBE Notary Public - Arizona

10